



⑫

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

⑬ Application number: 90308354.1

⑭ Int. Cl. 5: G05B 23/02, G21C 17/00

⑮ Date of filing: 30.07.90

⑯ Priority: 03.08.89 US 389386

⑰ Date of publication of application:
06.02.91 Bulletin 91/06

⑱ Designated Contracting States:
BE CH ES FR GB IT LI

⑲ Date of deferred publication of the search report:
31.07.91 Bulletin 91/31

⑳ Applicant: WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION
Westinghouse Building Gateway Center
Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15222(US)

㉑ Inventor: Elm, William Cosmos

17 Renaissance Drive
Irwin, PA 15642(US)

Inventor: Roth, Emilie Matarasso
2061 Beechwood Blvd.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217(US)

Inventor: Woods, David Defourneaux
3215 Hawthorne Ct.
Murrysville, PA 15668(US)


㉒ Representative: van Berlyn, Ronald Gilbert
23, Centre Heights
London, NW3 6JG(GB)

㉓ Expert advice display processing system.

㉔ The present invention provides expert advice produced by an expert system 16 as a graphic display that indicates to the user a range 64 in which an actual value 62 of a parameter should be kept for safe or efficient operation of the process being monitored. The operator adjusts control points to keep the actual value indicator 62 within the range 64. As the process being monitored moves toward an out-of-normal state, an alarm management system 18 reinforces the graphic advice with general and then more detailed alarm messages that are displayed in priority order in goal and process message slots 114-122. Recommendations, in the form of an action script, for particular actions, based on expert system action indications, are also presented as the system state becomes more unacceptable. The invention also displays an historical perspective of the process parameters being monitored and, through a prediction module 20, provides a prediction of the future state of the process and process parameters. The alarm management system 18 compares the prediction with fixed position threshold as well as the range to produce event prediction messages. The expert advice displays are arranged in a functional hierarchy that can be traversed by the operator for advice

at various levels of detail where lower levels provide a natural explanation of higher levels.

FIG. 2





DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.5)		
X,Y,A	HITACHI REVIEW. vol. 37, no. 4, August 1988, TOKYO & K. AOKI: "ADVANCED FEATURES OF A COMPUTER SYSTEM FOR THERMAL POWER PLANTS" * page 238, left-hand column, lines 12 - 23 ** page 243, right-hand column, lines 6 - 20; figures 2, 4, 9, 10 * - - - Y,A IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE. vol. 35, no. 2, April 1988, NEW YORK US pages 991 - 1000; L. BELTRACCHI: "AN EXPERT DISPLAY SYSTEM AND NUCLEAR POWER PLANT CONTROL ROOMS" * page 993, right-hand column, line 4 - page 994, left-hand column, line 53; figures 1-9 * - - - -	1-6,8-10, 13-18,7, 7,12,11, 19	G 05 B 23/02 G 21 C 17/00		
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.5)		
			G 05 B G 21 C G 21 D		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims					
Place of search	Date of completion of search	Examiner			
The Hague	05 June 91	GOETZ P.A.			
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS					
X: particularly relevant if taken alone	E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date				
Y: particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category	D: document cited in the application				
A: technological background	L: document cited for other reasons				
O: non-written disclosure					
P: intermediate document					
T: theory or principle underlying the invention	&: member of the same patent family, corresponding document				

BEST AVAILABLE COPY